



United States Patent and Trademark Office



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/257,223	02/25/1999	LESLIE DEREK HUMPHREY	476-1733	1908
75	90 12/18/2002			
LEE MANN SMITH MCWILLIAMS SWEENEY & OHLSON P O BOX 2786			EXAMINER	
			GEORGE, KEITH M	
CHICAGO, IL 606902786			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2663	

DATE MAILED: 12/18/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

N

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	09/257,223	HUMPHREY, LESLIE DEREK			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
•	Keith M. George	2663			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fro cause the application to become ABANDOI	timely filed lays will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>17 C</u>	October 2002 .				
,— ,	is action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims	no application				
4) Claim(s) 1,2,4-7,12 and 13 is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-7,12 and 13</u> is/are rejected.					
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers	r election requirement.				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)⊠ The proposed drawing correction filed on <u>17 October 2002</u> is: a)⊠ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.					
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.					
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority document	s have been received.				
2. Certified copies of the priority document	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	ic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	9(e) (to a provisional application).			
 a) The translation of the foreign language pro 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domest 					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	ary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) al Patent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 09/257,223

Art Unit: 2663

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1,7 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Achilleoudis in view of Czerwiec and Lamport. Achilleoudis teaches a digital communication service as shown in figure 2 and also teaches the use of mini-cells based on Asynchronous Transfer Mode (ATM) (column 4, lines 35-39). These mini-cells are allocated for housekeeping, ranging, MAC-layer and payload (control and supervision) (column 4, lines 43-46). Achilleoudis also teaches that the amount of mini-cells allocated for housekeeping, ranging, MAC-layer and payload is adapted to the actual need, and can even be zero for some cell types (column 4, lines 43-47). Since the mini-cells can be used for payload, any type of data traffic can be sent over them, including packet voice traffic. Achilleoudis also teaches that the minicells are frame and byte oriented as shown in figure 3. Achilleoudis teaches all of the above with the possible exception of scrambling the data over the line and synchronization that occurs during a period of null data transmission. Czerwiec teaches an ATM system that includes a scrambler before a Reed Solomon encoder and a descrambler after the Reed Solomon decoder (column 18, lines 4-6). Lamport teaches packet flow control for a local area network where if there is no data which needs to be sent between two hosts, then synchronization bytes are sent, and the synchronization bytes are simply null data (column 9, lines 65-68). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to add the scrambler/descrambler of Czerwiec to the method of Achilleoudis in order to randomize the data

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/257,223

Art Unit: 2663

(Czerwiec, column 18, lines 4-6). It would have also been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to use the packet flow control method of Lamport to send synchronization bytes as null data since they can instruct the receiver that no data is being sent (Lamport, column 10, lines 31-34).

Page 3

- 3. Claims 2, 4-6 and 13 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Achilleoudis, Czerwiec and Lamport as applied to claim1 above, and further in view of Deng.
- 4. Referring to claim 2, 6 and 13, Achilleoudis, Czerwiec and Lamport teach a digital communication system, the use of mini-cells for control and supervision, scrambling the data over the line and synchronization that occurs during a period of null data transmission as shown in claim 1 above. Achilleoudis, Czerwiec and Lamport teach all of the above with the possible exception of the use of modems to connect the two systems, a multiplexer or packet transaction means. Deng teaches a digital communication system comprising an ADSL Modem, Data Bus/Multiplexer and Switching Port Controllers (packet transaction means) in figures 4 and 5. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to utilize the mini-cells as taught by Achilleoudis, Czerwiec and Lamport over the network taught by Deng. One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to do this in order to facilitate an easy implementation of multiple services over a single communication network (Achilleoudis, column 4, line 48).
- 5. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Achilleoudis, Czerwiec, Lamport and Deng as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Deng. As applied to claim 2, Achilleoudis, Czerwiec, Lamport and Deng do not teach a connection to an ATM network. Deng teaches a WAN protocol converter in figure 5 that can convert the protocol

Application/Control Number: 09/257,223 Page 4

Art Unit: 2663

of data packets received from the wide area network from WAN protocols, such as frame relay or ATM protocol (column 7, lines 57-60). At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to connect the network of Achilleoudis, Czerwiec, Lamport and Deng to an ATM network to provide WAN connectivity to the devices on the network.

6. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Achilleoudis, Czerwiec, Lamport and Deng as applied to claim 4 above, and further in view of Deng. As applied to claim 4, Achilleoudis, Czerwiec, Lamport and Deng do not teach a twisted conductor pair to connect the two devices. Deng teaches a twisted conductor pair to connect the devices as shown in figures 4 and 5. At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to connect the two devices in the communication network of Achilleoudis, Czerwiec, Lamport and Deng with a twisted conductor pair as taught by Deng since an ADSL modem transmits and receives digital data packets on twisted pair (Deng, column 5, lines 2-3).

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-2, 4-7 and 12-13 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Keith M. George whose telephone number is 703-305-6531. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:00-4:30, every other F 7:00-3:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Chau T. Nguyen can be reached on 703-308-5340. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9314 for regular communications and 703-872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-305-4750.

kmg

December 12, 2002

CHAU NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600

Chou To Mure